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Preface

The development of reliable prestressing techniques has certainly been the most important innovation in the field of structural concrete. It enabled concrete construction to compete successfully within areas that had previously been dominated by steel construction, including long-span bridges, high-rise buildings, pressure vessels and offshore structures. Today, prestressing and, in particular, post-tensioning is a mature technology, providing efficient, economic and elegant structural solutions for a wide range of applications.

Surveys indicate vast differences in the use of post-tensioning among different countries. While the wide spread can largely be explained by differences in local needs, standards, education and habits it appears that the potential offered by post-tensioning is far from being exploited, especially in building structures. Too many building structures, for which post-tensioning would provide a clearly superior solution, are conceived, designed and built as non-prestressed. For too long, non-prestressed and prestressed concrete have been treated as completely separate entities and hence, prestressing is not yet regarded as a familiar and desirable construction option by many developers, architects, engineers and contractors.

Post-tensioning in buildings is not limited to floor slabs. Post-tensioning of foundations, transfer beams and plates, post-tensioned masonry and the combination of precast elements with cast-in-place concrete by means of post-tensioning offer other interesting opportunities. Developers, architects, engineers, contractors, educators and students will find the present report to be most informative in this regard. It describes the application of post-tensioning within the overall context of building construction and it yields a sufficient basis for corresponding preliminary designs; special information required for the final dimensioning and detailing will be given in a companion report.

VSL should be commended for continuing their tradition to disseminate state-of-the art information on post-tensioning and it is hoped that through this and related efforts an increasing number of companies and individuals will benefit from the use of posttensioning in buildings.

Zurich, 30th April 1992

Prof. Dr. Peter Marti
ETH Zurich
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1. Introduction

It is no secret that the key to the successful construction of new buildings is successful planning. Successful planning starts from the very beginning with good communication and close cooperation between all parties involved in the project, in particular the owner, the architect and the engineer. As soon as a contractor has been nominated he too should be included in the planning team. In this way one of the key aspects of successful planning, the constructability of the building, can be addressed properly as part of the evaluation process of various concepts. This is of paramount importance for the success of the project since constructability most markedly affects the time to completion of a turn-key project and thus the final cost to the owner. Because the major part of the total cost of large developments is financing cost rather than actual construction cost, the completion time is often a more important consideration than material consumption. With this in mind it follows that successful planning means to always maintain an overall perspective of the project, that is to consider the building as a whole rather than looking at individual parts in isolation. Since the various parts of a building strongly influence one another, in particular in the way they are constructed, optimization of one part may well be detrimental to another.

Any significant saving in construction cost can therefore only be achieved by means that also affect the labour cost and the non-structural cost for cladding, electrical and mechanical services, lifts, fit-out, etc.

The most cost significant structural element of a building is the floor framing. Fig. 1.2 demonstrates the relative contribution of the floor framing to the total structural cost per unit floor area. While for low-rise buildings this contribution is almost 100 %, the cost for columns and walls including their foundations, and for the lateral load resisting system becomes increasingly significant for taller buildings. The floor framing system affects the cost in two ways:

First it has a direct influence on the rest of the structure in that its weight determines the size of columns, walls and foundations, and its structural depth determines the total building height and thereby the quantity of cladding and vertical trunk lines. In seismic areas the floor weight also determines the member sizes of the lateral load resisting system, Fig. 1.3 shows the split-up of the total structural weight of a 49-storey building. While the floor framing accounts for just over 50 % of the total, any reduction of floor weight would cause a corresponding weight reduction also for the peripheral frames and the service core and would thus affect almost the entire structural weight.

The second way the floor framing system affects the cost of the building relates to the total construction time: Both the time required to construct one floor and the time lag between the structural completion of the floor and the commencement of fit-out work such as electrical and mechanical services, suspended ceilings and decorating, are major factors influencing the time to completion of the building. These considerations demonstrate that the optimization of the floor framing with regard to weight, structural depth and constructability goes a long way towards successful planning. However, one should not make the mistake of comparing the cost of one floor system against the cost of another without considering the arroyoever effects on other parts of the structure, including the non-structural parts, and on financing cost.

In some countries, including the U.S., Australia, South Africa and Thailand, a great number of large buildings have been successfully constructed using posttensioned floors. One of the main reasons
for this success is the improved constructability of post-tensioned slabs: less material to be handled and placed, simpler and less congested reinforcement, earlier stripping of formwork and often simpler formwork. Apart from shorter overall construction time and savings in material and labour cost, post-tensioning allows more architectural freedom: Larger columnfree spaces providing more flexibility in the subdivision of commercial and office floors, wide-spanning or boldly cantilevering floors that leave generous space for lobbies or public areas, slender elegant roofs for show rooms or exhibition halls, to name a few examples.

Specifically, Chapter 2 summarises the major design objectives, including suggestions how these objectives can be met. This should help the reader to rationally select an efficient overall structural concept for a building. Then, in Chapter 3 a wide range of post-tensioning applications are illustrated, including foundations, structural walls and service cores, moment-resisting frames, transfer beams and plates, and masonry walls. In recognition of their key role in building structures, floor framing systems are discussed in greater depth. These illustrations demonstrate that post-tensioning can make a significant contribution to the success of building designs. After a brief review of the VSL post-tensioning hardware in Chapter 4, Chapter 5 presents some background information to enable the reader to determine preliminary sizes of floor framing members, and to estimate approximate reinforcing and prestressing steel quantities. The content is not intended to serve as a design aid to engineers. Technical design issues will be the subject of the second volume of this report. Tendon arrangements, connection and anchorage details for post-tensioned floors are discussed in Chapter 6. Finally, Chapter 7 presents two examples that reiterate the contents of Chapters 3, 5 and 6. While post-tensioning is a very attractive repair and strengthening method, this report is limited to applications in new construction.

Note: Saving weight of floor framing results in significant savings in core and outer tube, particularly so in seismic area.

Fig. 1.3: Split-up of Total Structural Weight for a 49 Storey Office Building of the "Tube-in-Tube" Type (adopted from [2])

In addition, the reduction of structural height and weight as outlined above, and the improved deflection and cracking behaviour contribute to the success of post-tensioned floors.

Fig. 1.4 shows the total post-tensioning consumption and the percentage used in buildings in various countries in the year 1990. It is evident that there are huge differences. While in the U.S. and Australia more than 75 % of the total posttensioning was built into building structures, this market made less than 10 % in most European countries. The objective of this report is to encourage the use of post-tensioning in buildings, particularly in countries where this idea is not yet widely accepted, by demonstrating its advantages and benefits. The report is intended to provide useful background information to owners, architects, engineers and contractors, and to relate to them the positive experience made in areas where the use of post-tensioning in buildings is commonplace.

Fig. 1.4: Total Annual Post-Tensioning Consumption and Percentage Used in Building Structures in Various Countries (1990 Figures)
2. General Objectives in the Design of Building Structures

Buildings can be classified in many different ways. They can be distinguished by their use or occupancy, by the construction materials, by their owners (public / private), or by their height (low-rise / high-rise). Here two representative building types, distinguished primarily by trunk lines, the storey height and therefore the structural height of the floor framing must be minimised. In order to maximise rentable space and flexibility of occupancy the floor framing is usually required to have relatively long spans, which is in conflict with the objective to and deflection limitations or avoidance of expansion joints.

Tables 2.1 and 2.2 also include suggestions as to how each design objective can be met. These suggestions include the use of simple and efficient formwork, post-tensioning, pre-fabrication of reinforcing assemblages, complete or partial pre-fabrication of entire concrete elements, the choice of a suitable floor framing system, simple details, high degree of standardization, and the use of high early strength concrete.

Post-tensioning helps to meet each single one of the design objectives. The reasons for this are different in each case and are listed as footnotes under the tables. The most prominent ones are that post-tensioning allows the floor framing to be more slender, solving the problem of the conflicting needs for long spans and small structural depth, and that it replaces a significant amount of reinforcement, thus reducing steel quantities and allowing standardization and simplification of the reinforcement. Further reasons why post-tensioning helps to improve the design are that usually the concrete quantities are reduced and that the

Fig. 2.1: Typical High-Rise Building under Construction

Fig. 2.2: Typical Low-Rise Building under Construction
Table 2.1: Objectives Of Concrete Floor Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Objective</th>
<th>Benefits for the project</th>
<th>Benefits for the project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>smallest-possible floor-to floor height</td>
<td>saving on vertical structural members, cladding, mechanical risers, lift stairs, air conditioning (volume to be heated or cooled)</td>
<td>post-tensioning 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>largest-possible columnfree space, i. e. long spans</td>
<td>flexibility of occupancy, maximum rentable space</td>
<td>light-weight concrete, ribbed or waffle slab, slab with voids, post-tensioning 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lowest-possible weight of floor</td>
<td>saving on vertical structural members and foundation and, in seismic areas, on lateral load resisting system</td>
<td>high early strength concrete, simple, standardised details for reinforcement, formwork, post-tensioning 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high repeatability from floor to floor</td>
<td>improvement of constructability and thus saving of time</td>
<td>simple, standardised details for reinforcement, formwork, post-tensioning 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quickest-possible floor cycle</td>
<td>saving of time, avoidance of clashes between different trades, reduction of required number of formwork sets</td>
<td>high early strength concrete, simple reinforcing and formwork in large pre-assembled units, simple details with high repeatability, pre-fabrication of critical path elements (columns, beams, slab soffits, or walls), post-tensioning 3) 4) 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no back-propping wherever possible</td>
<td>direct saving of time, indirect saving of time by allowing building fit-out to start earlier (early access)</td>
<td>use of self-supporting falsework that only needs to be supported near vertical elements, high early strength concrete, post-tensioning 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why does post-tensioning help to meet the design objectives?

1) post-tensioning allows greater span/depth ratio
2) for a given span post-tensioned floors require less concrete
3) if a significant part of the load is resisted by post-tensioning the non-prestressed reinforcement can be simplified and standardised to a large degree. Furthermore, material handling is reduced since the total tonnage of steel (non-prestressed + prestressed) and concrete is less than for a R. C. floor
4) post-tensioning allows earlier stripping of formwork
5) assembling of precast elements by post-tensioning avoids complicated reinforcing bar connections with in situ closure pours, or welded steel connectors, and thus can significantly reduce erection time
6) usually the permanent floor load is largely balanced by draped post-tensioning tendons so that only the weight of the wet concrete of the floor above induces flexural stresses. These are often of the same order as the design live load stresses. Hence back-propping of one floor below is usually sufficient
Table 2.2: Objectives Of Concrete Floor Design

Low to Medium-Rise, Large Area Multi-Purpose Commercial Buildings

characteristic construction progression: predominantly horizontal, in one or two directions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Objective</th>
<th>Benefits for the Project</th>
<th>How the objectives can be met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>large column-free spaces, i.e. large spans</td>
<td>• flexibility of occupancy, • maximum rentable space</td>
<td>• post-tensioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high repeatability from stage to stage and from floor to floor</td>
<td>• improvement of constructability and thus saving of time</td>
<td>• simple, standardised details for reinforcement • simple, standardised details for formwork • post-tensioning 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quickest-possible turn around of formwork</td>
<td>• saving of time, • reduction of required number of formwork sets</td>
<td>• high early strength concrete • simple reinforcing and formwork in large pre-assembled units • simple details with high repeatability • pre-fabrication of critical path elements (columns, beams, slab soffits, walls) • post-tensioning 2)3)4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no back-propping wherever possible</td>
<td>• direct saving of time, indirect saving of time by allowing building fit-out to start earlier (early access)</td>
<td>• use of self-supporting falsework that only needs to be supported near vertical elements • high early strength concrete • post-tensioning 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for some commercial and industrial developments: strict deflection limitations</td>
<td>• (requirement)</td>
<td>• pre-cambered formwork • sufficient stiffness of multi-span floors • post-tensioning • high early strength concrete • post-tensioning 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for some commercial and industrial developments: strict limitation of crack widths</td>
<td>• (requirement)</td>
<td>• lay-out of columns and walls to avoid restraint of shrinkage and temperature shortening • temporary or permanent separation of floor from restraining vertical elements • careful concrete mix design • careful curing of concrete • well distributed non-prestressed steel • post-tensioning 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for some warehouse or industrial buildings: floor free of expansion joint</td>
<td>• improved riding surface, for fork lifts, easy-to-clean surfaces</td>
<td>• same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for parkings (typically): lowest-possible floor-to-floor height</td>
<td>• more rentable space for given total building height, • shorter ramps</td>
<td>• post-tensioning 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why does post-tensioning help to meet the design objectives?

1) post-tensioning allows greater span/depth ratio, thus more economical for large spans

2) if a significant part of the load is resisted by post-tensioning 
   the non-prestressed reinforcement can be simplified and standardised to a large degree. Furthermore, material handling is reduced since the total tonnage of steel (non-prestressed + prestressed) and concrete is less than for a R.C. floor

3) post-tensioning allows earlier stripping of formwork

4) assembling of precast elements by post-tensioning avoids complicated reinforcing bar connections with insitu closure pours, or welded steel connectors, and thus can significantly reduce erection time

5) usually the permanent floor load is largely balanced by draped post-tensioning tendons so that only the weight of the wet concrete of the floor above induces flexural stresses. These are often of the same order as the design live load stresses. Hence back-propping of one floor below is usually sufficient

6) post-tensioning usually balances most of the permanent loads thus significantly reducing deflections and tensile stresses

7) the P/A stress provided by post-tensioning may prevent tensile stresses causing the floor to crack.
formwork can be stripped earlier than for non-prestressed floors. Also, the often required strict limitation of deflections and crack widths can be effectively achieved by post-tensioning. Since the draped prestressing tendons typically balance a significant part of the permanent floor loading, deflections and cracking are substantially reduced compared to a reinforced floor. In addition, the in-plane compression forces from the prestressed tendons neutralize tensile stresses in the concrete to a degree, delaying the formation of cracks.

Another important factor that helps to speed up the overall construction time is the formwork. Large highly mechanized form systems for the floor framing, such as fly forms or table forms (Figs. 2.3 and 2.4) and climbing forms for wall systems such as service cores (Fig. 2.5) are typical examples of efficient formwork.

Pre-fabrication of large reinforcing assemblages, often including post-tensioning tendons and anchorages, is another good example of how the construction time can be reduced (Fig. 2.6). Pre-assembling of reinforcement is facilitated by the use of post-tensioning since steel quantities are reduced and reinforcing details can be simplified and standardized to a high degree.

Yet a higher level of rationalization is the partial or even complete pre-fabrication of entire concrete elements such as columns, beams, wall panels or slab soffits. In this way the setting up and stripping of formwork and the placing of steel and concrete is mainly carried out off the critical path, resulting in time saving and a smaller workforce required on the job itself. Some typical examples of precast elements are shown in Figs. 2.7 to 2.9: Precast columns, continuous over two storeys in Fig. 2.7, precast wall panels in Fig. 2.8 and precast columns with precast drop panels mounted on top in Fig. 2.9. This example demonstrates how a flat slab with drop panels can be constructed without a major increase of formwork complexity. Fig. 2.10 shows an example of a one-way slab and band beam floor built entirely with precast concrete soffits and shell beams which will act compositely with the in situ concrete. Fig. 2.11 (a) shows three different types of shell beams. The possible arrangement of post-tensioning tendons in the band beams and in the slab is also indicated in the diagrams. The precast elements normally contain most, if not all of the bottom reinforcement, including beam stirrups where needed, so that only the post-tensioning tendons and the top reinforcement have to be placed on site. The shell beams can be combined with different types of slabs, ranging from in situ slabs cast on conventional formwork to proprietary precast floor panels such as

---

Fig. 2.3: Large “Flying Formwork” Panels

Fig. 2.4: “Flying Formwork” Panel Viewed from Inside of Floor under Construction
hollow core or double T slabs, as shown in Fig. 2.11 (b).

Finally, a note on the concrete properties for post-tensioned parts of buildings. In general there is no need to specify higher concrete strengths than for structural parts of normal reinforced concrete. However, in some cases the choice of high early strength concrete may lead to significant time savings since it allows earlier stripping of formwork. Because the stresses in a post-tensioned slab or beam without super-imposed loading are typically very small, except for the local zones around the anchorages of the prestressing tendons, the prestress can be applied at a time when the concrete is still quite "green", provided that the anchorage zones have sufficient strength. The use of larger bearing plates or of precast anchorage blocks containing standard anchorages, are two possible ways to allow early stressing and thus early formwork stripping even when normal strength concrete is used. In order to minimise shrinkage and creep related cracking of the young concrete it pays to use carefully formulated mixes and to properly cure the concrete for a sufficiently long period of time.

Fig. 2.5: VSL Climbing Formwork is an Efficient Means to Construct Complex Service Cores in High-Rise Buildings

Fig. 2.6: Site Pre-Fabricated Reinforcement, Including Strand and Anchorages, Speed up Construction

Fig. 2.7: Another Example of Efficient Construction: 2-Storey Pre-Fabricated Concrete Columns
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Fig. 2.8: Precast Wall Panels

Fig. 2.10: Floor Framing Constructed with Integrated Formwork

Fig. 2.11: Floor Framing with Integrated Formwork: Sections
3. Applications of Post-Tensioning in Buildings

Apart from floor systems there are many other possible applications of posttensioning in building structures that can result in significant savings. The list includes moment-resisting frames, shear walls, service cores, transfer beams and plates, foundations, masonry walls, hangers and ties. In this chapter each of these applications, as well as post-tensioned floor systems, are discussed in some detail. The advantages offered by posttensioning are reviewed and some typical tendon arrangements are shown for the different applications. Since floor systems have by far the greatest impact on the cost of building structures they are treated in more depth than the other applications presented.

3.1 Floor Systems

The multitude of different floor systems a designer can choose from are reviewed with respect to the selection criteria and the compatibility with post-tensioning. Floor systems can be classified in different ways, for instance insitu versus precast floors, single span versus multi span floors, slab on beams versus flat slab, one-way versus two-way systems, etc. Table 3.1 presents a classification into two main categories, namely one-way systems and two-way systems. Each of these is further sub-divided into different groups, depending on whether beams are used, and if so, whether the beams are of the wide shallow type often referred to as "band beams", or conventional narrow beams (including supporting walls as a limit case in terms of support stiffness for the slab). Each of these groups can then be further sub-divided by slab type (flat solid slab, flat voided slab, both with or without drop panels, ribbed slab, waffle slab), beam type (solid or voided beams, both with or without drop panels), and construction method (different combinations of insitu and partly precast construction, including the use of steel trough decking as composite slab formwork).

The selection of the floor framing system depends on a number of factors, the main ones being:

- **typical span range**
  the suitability and economy of the different floor framing systems depend on the span length
- **ratio of span in x-direction to span in y-direction**
  for nearly square column grids two-way systems are more suitable than one-way systems
- **super-imposed loading (light/ heavy)**
  for heavy loading floor systems with beams are more suitable than flat slabs
- **overall structural height of the floor framing**
  determines the total building height and thus the cost for cladding and vertical services (particularly important for high-rise buildings)
- **constructability**
  determines the overall construction time and thus the final cost to the owner economy of the floor system material consumption versus labour cost, relative cost of concrete, steel and formwork, local availability of proprietary systems such as double T, hollow core or similar
- **flexibility for the lay-out of under-ceiling mechanical/electrical services**
  free routing underneath the soffit versus penetrations through beams
- **structural weight per unit area (average)**
  determines the size of vertical supporting members and foundations and, in seismic areas, of the lateral load resisting system. The use of ribbed slabs. waffle slabs or voided slabs helps to minimise the weight
- **requirements for in-service behaviour (deflections, cracking) and for strength**
  depending on these requirements stiffness, moment capacity, or both are important criteria
- **is the floor framing part of the lateral load resisting system?**
  floor systems with beams are preferable if frame action is required
- **exposed soffits/ suspended ceilings**
  flat plates or waffle slabs are more aesthetic where the soffit is exposed
Table 3.2 summarises the main features, the advantages and disadvantages, and some possible post-tensioning arrangements for the floor systems of Table 3.1. The post-tensioning tendons are marked in blue. Depending on the system, it may be more economical to post-tension only the beams, or the slab, or both. For the floor systems with beams, only the ones with band beams are shown in Table 3.2. The arrangement of slab tendons in equivalent systems with narrow beams or supporting walls is similar. Naturally, narrow concrete beams, regardless of whether they are precast or cast-in-place, can also be post-tensioned. For systems 1 and 2 (Table 3.2) the tendons can either be concentrated in the "column strips", i.e. narrow bands along the grid lines, or they can be partly concentrated in the "column strips" and partly distributed in one or both directions. While column strip tendons are very easy to place, the arrangement shown as option (c) requires careful planning of the placing sequence since the tendons inter-weave. On the other hand, option (c) provides better in-service performance because the balancing loads from the draped tendons are more uniformly distributed. Option (b) thus appears to be a good compromise of the two.

Referring to Table 3.2 it is evident that for increasing span lengths and superimposed loads the use of auxiliary stiffening elements such as ribs, beams or drop panels increases the complexity of the floor framing, and thus the cost for formwork. However, even for light loading as for office floors the choice of a complex system may prove to be economical, particularly so for high-rise buildings. Compared to flat plates, floor systems with beams, ribs, drop panels or voids possess a better structural efficiency, that is flexural stiffness and strength for a given weight per unit floor area, hence the floor weight can be reduced significantly, resulting in savings for vertical members and foundations. On the other hand, the formwork is re-used many times in high-rise buildings so that the complexity is relatively insignificant in terms of formwork cost per unit floor area. It should also be remembered that the combination of precast soffits, drop panels or shell beams with insitu concrete allows the construction of complex floor systems with rather simple, if any formwork, as shown in Figs. 2.9 to 2.11.

In Chapter 2 the general objectives in the design of building structures and the different ways in which post-tensioning can help to meet these objectives, were reviewed. The specific advantages of post-tensioning the floor framing are once more summarised below:

- **reduction of structural depth**
  this in turn results in a reduced building height and corresponding savings in cladding and vertical services, or allows to fit additional storeys into the given maximum building height

- **increase of span length**
  allows larger column-free areas and thus more flexibility in the floor use

- **reduction of floor weight and of material consumption**
  the size of columns, walls and foundations is reduced and less material is used for the floor framing itself

- **flexibility in layout of services**
  with post-tensioning it is often possible to choose a floor system with a flat soffit while a corresponding reinforced concrete floor would need beams or ribs

- **improved constructability**
  faster construction because less material is to be handled and placed. Simpler details, higher degree of standardization, less congestion of reinforcement, often minimum reinforcement that can be pre-assembled in large units

- **improved cracking and deflection control**
  Due to the load-balancing effect of the draped prestressing tendons a typical post-tensioned floor is more or less free of flexure under its self weight, i.e. virtually no tensile stresses and deformations exist. Cracking and deflections are almost exclusively caused by super-imposed and live loads (apart from volume change effects due to drying shrinkage and temperature changes) and are normally reversible when the loads are removed. Long-term (creep) deflections are thus mainly due to permanent super-imposed loads which are typically applied no sooner than 6 months after the floor was cast. Because the creep coefficient for long-term loads applied at that concrete age is much smaller than for loads acting from the start, the creep deflections of post-tensioned floors are further reduced compared to those expected for non-nrestressed floors.

Are there any disadvantages? The short answer is no. One argument frequently used against post-tensioning by owners and contractors is the lack of flexibility to accommodate floor penetrations, either planned or as part of future changes to meet specific tenant requirements. For planned or future small penetrations the contrary is the case: Because of the reduced reinforcement content the rebars are usually spaced further apart, leaving more flexibility for small penetrations. There is no doubt that drilling or coring small holes into or through post-tensioned floors requires a certain amount of discipline in order to avoid cutting any posttensioning strands. However, it is very simple to locate the tendons in a floor to make sure that small penetrations or fixing holes miss them. In most cases the construction drawings will give a good estimate where it is safe to drill. If the drawings show tendons close to the proposed penetration then the exact tendon location can readily be determined on site with the aid of a metal detector. Drilling of small holes for fixing dowels is generally safe anywhere provided the drill has got an automatic switch that is triggered by contact with steel. Since the tendons usually have a minimum concrete cover of 30 to 50 mm small holes less than 20 to 30 mm deep can be drilled safely anywhere in the slab.

Large penetrations for new stairs, lifts or air conditioning ducts require a careful design check by an engineer, regardless of whether the floor is post-tensioned or not. If the post-tensioning tendons are arranged in column strips or beams only, there are relatively large slab panels between these strips where there are no tendons at all so that penetrations can be readily accommodated with very little, if any additional strengthening.
## Table 3.1 Classification of floor systems

### 1-way-systems

- **Band beam + slab**
  - Solid slab
  - Ribbed slab
  - Voided slab

- **Solid "beam" strips with voided 1-way-slab**
  - Solid slab
  - Ribbed slab
  - Voided slab

- **Narrow beams or walls + slab**
  - Solid slab
  - Ribbed slab
  - Voided slab

- **Solid beams**
  - Solid beams
  - Voided beams
  - Beams with drop panels

- **Ribbed slab**

- **Voided slab**

- **Any combination of**
  - Beam insitu on formwork
  - Beam insitu in precast shells
  - Slab insitu on formwork
  - Slab insitu on precast soffit
  - Slab composite (steel troughs)
  - Slab precast with insitu topping

- **All insitu**

- **Any combination of**
  - Beams insitu on formwork
  - Beams insitu in precast shells
  - Beam partly precast
  - Beams precast
  - Steel beams
  - Slab insitu on formwork
  - Slab insitu on precast soffit
  - Slab composite (steel troughs)
  - Slab precast with insitu topping
Table 3.1 Continued

2-way-systems

- Flat slabs
- Solid slab
- Voided slab
- Without drop panels
- With drop panels
- All insitu
- Insitu on precast soffit

- Waffle slabs
- With solid bands
- With solid «capitals»
- Insitu

- Band beams in 2 directions + slab
- Solid slab
- Voided slab
- Waffle slab
- Solid beams
- Voided slab
- Waffle slab
- Beams with drop panels
- Any combination of
- Beams insitu on formwork
- Beams insitu in precast shells
- Slab insitu on formwork
- Slab insitu on precast soffit

- Narrow beams or walls in 2 directions + slab
- Solid slab
- Voided slab
- Waffle slab
- Solid beams
- Any combination of
- Beams insitu on formwork
- Beams insitu in precast shells
- Beams partly precast
- Steel beams
- Slab insitu on precast soffit
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floor system and schematic arrangement of prestressing tendons</th>
<th>Most suitable for span range (column centre-to-centre)</th>
<th>Suitable for loading strength?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a: flat plate</td>
<td>7-10 m</td>
<td>light to medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b: flat plate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c: flat plate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a: flat slab with drops</td>
<td>8-13 m</td>
<td>light to medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b: flat slab with drops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c: flat slab with drops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a: flat plate with voids</td>
<td>7-12 m</td>
<td>light to medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b: flat plate with voids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a: 1-way slab + beams</td>
<td>Beams: 8-16 m</td>
<td>light to medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b: as (a), ribbed slab</td>
<td>Slab: 7-12 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c: same as (a) but with narrow (conventional) beams</td>
<td>Ribbed slab: 9-14 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a: steel trough decking</td>
<td>Beams: 8-16 m</td>
<td>light to medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b: same as (a) but with conventional precast concrete beams</td>
<td>Slab: 7-12 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c: same as (a) but with steel beams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a: 2-way slab + beams</td>
<td>8-16 m</td>
<td>medium to heavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b: 2-way slab + beams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c: same as (a) but with narrow (conventional) beams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a: waffle slab + &quot;beams&quot;</td>
<td>10-20 m</td>
<td>medium to heavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b: waffle slab + &quot;drops&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*light: 2-5 kN/m²; medium: 5-10 kN/m²; heavy: greater than 10 kN/m²
### Table 3.2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lowest cost formwork, flexibility in column arrangement, flat ceiling,</td>
<td>Low punching shear capacity, excess concrete for longer spans and unequal</td>
<td>Drop panels are typically one third of the span length with a total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>greatest flexibility for under-ceiling services layout</td>
<td>spans in x and y, greater deflections than other types</td>
<td>thickness of 1.5 to 2 times the slab thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tendons in types (a) and (b) easy to place</td>
<td>• Tendons in type (c) less easy to place</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Types (b) and (c) give best load-balancing</td>
<td>• Type (a) less effective in load balancing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i.e. better deflection control)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared to (1):</td>
<td>Expensive formwork</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better punching shear capacity, less concrete consumption for</td>
<td>• For tendon arrangements see under (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer spans or heavier loading, less congested top reinforcement over</td>
<td>• Sensitive to pattern loading</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tendon arrangements see under (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tendon arrangement (a) easy to place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has all the advantages of (1) but less weight (important in seismic</td>
<td>Handling, placing and material cost of void formers</td>
<td>Attractive in combination with precast soffits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zones), or, for same weight longer spans possible. For same weight,</td>
<td>• Tendon arrangement (b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading and spans punching shear capacity and deflections are better</td>
<td>• Less easy to place</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared to (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same as (2), longer spans possible in one direction, lighter floor or</td>
<td>More expensive formwork than (1), even more so for ribbed slab, less</td>
<td>Type a and b: beams and ribs should have same thickness. Narrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer slab span possible with ribbed slab</td>
<td>flexible services layout than (1) / (2), particularly so with ribbed slab</td>
<td>conventional beams may be precast and can either be post-tensioned or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tendons are easy to place and very effective for load-balancing</td>
<td>and conventional beams i.e. types (c), (d)</td>
<td>conventionally reinforced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economical formwork, no form stripping required, otherwise same as (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allows long spans in both directions and heavy loading, deflections can</td>
<td>Fire-rating of steel trough decking, less flexible services layout than</td>
<td>Tendons are placed between the folds of the steel troughs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be kept small, can carry concentrated loads</td>
<td>(1) / (2), particularly so with conventional R.C. or steel beams (b), (c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For (a): Tendons are easy to place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For (b): Very effective for load-balancing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types (a) and (b) have less weight than (6) and (2) respectively for</td>
<td>Formwork still more expensive than (2) / (4), beams interfere with services,</td>
<td>Narrow conventional beams may be precast and can either be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same spans and loading. Attractive exposed ceilings great flexibility in</td>
<td>Particularly so with conventional beams i.e. types (c), (d)</td>
<td>post-tensioned or conventionally reinforced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services Layout</td>
<td>• For (a): Less effective for load-balancing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tendons are easy to place, even for arrangement (b)</td>
<td>• For (b): Tendons are less easy to place</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: Ideally the “beams” and “drops” have the same thickness as the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Waffle ribs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If large penetrations coincide with prestressing tendons some strengthening along the edges is required and must be installed prior to cutting, in the same way as for reinforced concrete floors.

There are no problems in cutting bonded tendons. The cut ends will act as bond anchorage so that the tendon is still effective over the remaining length. For unbonded tendons, VSL has developed a special jack which is used to grip the strands from the two ends either side of the cut so that the energy is released in a controlled way when the strands are cut. Before this jack can be applied the tendon must be exposed over a length of 1.0 to 1.5 m by carefully cutting away the surrounding concrete. When the strand has been cut the pressure on the jack is released, de-tensioning the tendon completely. After the penetration has been completed, new stressing anchorage are provided at the edges, the tendons cut to length and restressed with a normal stressing jack.

In summary, small floor penetrations and fixing holes are no problem but a certain amount of discipline is required by the trades. A well documented maintenance manual will certainly minimise the danger of unintentional cutting of prestressing tendons. Large floor penetrations are no problem either but require careful planning and design by an engineer, regardless of whether the floor is post-tensioned or not.

3.2 Moment-Resisting Frames

In this context frames are understood to consist of columns and beams rigidly connected to resist moments and shears from lateral and gravity loads. Figs. 3.1 and 3.2 show examples of frames in a low rise and a high-rise building, respectively. While for low-rise buildings the floor framing itself and its supporting columns may have sufficient stiffness and strength to resist wind loads, for medium and high-rise buildings it is usually necessary to provide shear walls, peripheral or internal frames, or both in order to brace the building against side sway, particularly so in seismic areas.

The construction of moment-resisting frames can be quite time consuming due to the often complex reinforcement, particularly in the beam-column joints and in end columns of frames that predominantly resist lateral loads. End columns typically carry relatively small gravity loads but large tension forces caused by lateral loads, which usually results in high reinforcement percentages. The beams in such frames often have considerable top and bottom reinforcement in the end portions to resist positive and negative moments resulting from the dominance of lateral loads. Replacing the dense reinforcement with a few high strength prestressing tendons can lead to substantial savings in construction time, since the remaining reinforcement is simple and can easily be pre-assembled in beam and column cages or even as entire cruciform cages. Post-tensioning of frames has the added advantage that the stiffness is increased or, conversely, the member sizes can be reduced. Both the beams and the columns can be post-tensioned. However, often only the end columns will warrant this. The beam tendons can be continuous from end to end of the frame, either with parabolic drapes to balance gravity loads, or straight in the top and bottom of the beams, or as a combination of straight and draped tendons, depending on whether the design is dominated by gravity or lateral loads. The columns can either be post-tensioned by strand tendons continuous with couplers at every so many floors, or by stress bars coupled at every floor.

In order to reduce the construction time of moment-resisting frames, many contractors prefer to partly or completely precast the columns and beams which then only need to be erected and connected on site. In these cases post-tensioning offers the additional advantage that the prestress across the joints between precast elements provides sufficient clamping force to transfer shear in friction, avoiding reinforcing bar splices or couplers with the corresponding insitu concrete, or welded structural steel connectors. Because post-tensioned precast frames usually require only simple mortar joints they can be constructed quite expediently.

Fig. 3.3 shows three different arrangements of precast frames connected by post-tensioning tendons. The portion of the frame shown in each case is from mid-span to mid-span of adjacent bays and from mid-height to mid-height of two successive floors, as highlighted in Fig. 3.2. The beam tendons are continuous from one end of the frame to the other and are inserted into cast-in corrugated steel ducts and stressed after the mortar in the joints has hardened. The columns could be post-tensioned with strand tendons continuous over several storeys, or with stress bars coupled at every floor. It is evident that all elements must be temporarily propped and braced until all the tendons have been stressed.

Fig. 3.3(a) shows an arrangement suitable mainly for frames that predominantly resist gravity loads which explains why there are only draped tendons that pass through the columns near the top fibre of the beam. Fig. 3.3(b) and (c) show beams continuous through the column and a cruciform arrangement, respectively where the beams are joined at mid-span. These arrangements are more suitable than type (a) if the frame predominantly resists lateral loads. Then the moments at the beam...
ends can be negative or positive and their magnitude is usually greater than at midspan which is why there are also some straight tendons in the bottom of the beam. The beam-column joint is within monolithic concrete so that some nonprestressed beam steel can pass through the column which significantly improves the energy absorption capacity of seismic load resisting frames designed to form plastic hinges in the beams [3]. A possible detail of the joint of two full-span beams and a column is shown in Fig. 3.3(d). Temporary steel brackets stressed to the column are used to support the precast beams until the tendons have been stressed. Another way to construct peripheral frames without the need for formwork is shown in Fig. 3.4. The beams are partly precast as shells containing the bottom steel and the stirrups, as shown in the cross section Fig. 3.5. The outside part of the shell is precast to full height so that no edge form is required. The inside part is
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Precast to the level of the slab soffit and can support precast soffit panels or steel trough decking. The columns could be precast one or more storeys tall, either as fully precast units or as precast shells that are later filled with in situ concrete. In either case the joint is left free of concrete so that the top steel and the post-tensioning tendons can be readily placed. In this way the beams are monolithically connected with the slab and the columns. The beam-column joints behave similarly to cast-in-place joints, hence frames constructed in this way are adequate also in seismic regions [3].

In summary, the advantages of post-tensioning moment-resisting frames are:
- increase of frame stiffness and/or reduction of member sizes
- reduction of reinforcement percentages, thus simpler details and consequently faster construction cycle.

There are added advantages for frames constructed of precast elements:
- connections possess high strength and large stiffness
- simple mortar joints, no reinforcing bar laps or couplers and hardly any in-situ concrete requiring formwork
- no welded steel connectors with the corresponding high-level quality assurance procedures

3.3 Transfer Beams and Transfer Plates

In many high-rise hotel and office buildings large column-free lobbies are required at ground level, often extending over several floors, while the hotel or office floors above have columns and walls at much closer spacing. The transition from the small support grid to the large column spacing in the lobby is either by means of transfer beams or a transfer plate. In order to transfer the high concentrated forces from the columns and walls of the upper levels to the lower supports these beams and plates usually require considerable depths and large reinforcement quantities. Post-tensioning is a very effective way to reduce both the depth and the reinforcement content. Fig. 3.6 shows the principle of a post-tensioned transfer beam. The prestressing force enables an arch system to form within the beam, transferring the column forces from the upper floors to the lower supports.

Fig. 3.6: Principle of Post-Tensioned Transfer Beam

Fig. 3.7: Transfer Plate of Pacific Place, Hong Kong
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supports. Part of the loads, including the self weight of the beam, is balanced by the upward acting deviation forces from the parabolic tendons. The deflection is thus reduced considerably. The in-plane compression stress provided by the posttensioning tendons improves the cracking behaviour of the beam. As a guide line the post-tensioning should provide a minimum average in-plane compression stress of about 0.5 to 2.0 N/mm². The same principle applies to transfer plates. The draped tendons usually have to be stressed in stages as the construction of the upper storeys progresses. Otherwise the deviation forces from the fully prestressed tendons could cause a failure of the beam because they are not yet balanced by the full column loads from the upper storeys.

In some applications it will not be possible to drape the tendons because the corresponding radii would be smaller than the minimum recommended radius of curvature for the tendons. This is because the minimum thickness of transfer beams or plates is usually governed by.

shear force considerations. In those cases straight tendons are arranged in the top and in the bottom of the beam or plate, acting as chords. In that way arches similar to the system shown in Fig. 3.6 can develop. The bending moments are mainly resisted by the post-tensioning tendons so that usually only a minimum crack distributing reinforcement is required in the extreme faces. Hence the reinforcement is simplified significantly which means that the construction time is reduced. This is particularly important because the timely completion of transfer beams or plates is crucial to the construction of the upper floors. Of course the cracking and deflection behaviour is also improved by straight post-tensioning tendons since they too provide an in-plane compression stress.

An example of a large transfer plate is the one used in the Pacific Place building in Hong Kong [5]. This 4.5 m thick solid concrete slab transfers the loads from the closely spaced supports of the apartment / hotel complex to the widely spaced supports of the commercial / parking complex below as shown in Fig. 3.7 (a) and (b). The original design as a reinforced concrete slab required almost 500 kg/m³ of reinforcing steel. Owing to the very high shear forces, a reduction of the plate thickness was not practical, but a post tensioned alternative design by VSL International Ltd. permitted reducing the reinforcement content to 180 kg/m³ while introducing only 27 kg/m³ of posttensioning strand. Fig. 3.7 (d) illustrates the typical reinforcement of the original (left half) and of the alternative design (right half). The reduced and simplified reinforcement permitted the contractor to complete the slab in a much shorter period of time. The layout of the posttensioning tendons is shown in Fig. 3.7 (c).

A variation of transfer beams and plates are stiff caps on top of high-rise buildings. They are either used to suspend floors constructed from top to bottom, using hangers (Fig. 3.8a), or to engage the peripheral columns to take part in resisting lateral loads in tension / compression in order to increase the lateral stiffness of the building (Fig. 3.8b).

Fig. 3.8: Post-Tensioned Transfer Caps

**In summary, post-tensioning of transfer beams and plates offers the following advantages:**

- significant reduction of reinforcement, thus steel fixing is simplified, reducing construction time
- in many cases a reduction of the beam depth or plate thickness (i.e. total building height and weight is reduced and material saved)
- greater stiffness and hence better cracking and deflection behaviour

3.4 Wall Panels and Service Cores

Reinforced concrete structural walls are used to brace framed building structures against side sway. Because these walls usually carry only relatively small gravity loads they require rather large quantities of vertical reinforcement in their extremities. These vertical bars, acting as tension chords, must be lap-spliced or coupled at every construction joint, or otherwise several meters long starter bars project from the pour level, hampering the concreting operations and posing a possible source of injuries. The replacement of most of the vertical reinforcement by high strength post-tensioning tendons therefore simplifies the steel fixing and thus results in overall construction time savings. Also, post-tensioning improves the cracking behaviour of concrete walls.

Similar to post-tensioned columns, the prestress can either be provided by strand tendons continuous over several storeys,
or by stress bars that are coupled at every storey. Strand tendons are inserted into cast-in corrugated ducts and coupled to dead-end anchorages provided at the wall base. After stressing from above the concrete closing the gaps left for bar splices or steel connectors. Fig. 3.9 shows a typical outside shear wall of a medium-rise building under construction. There are two precast panels per floor. The principle of the horizontal connection detail is shown in Fig. 3.10(a). Tendons are located in corrugated steel ducts. A seating pocket is left for the slab. Shear keys and/or location dowels can be provided to centre the panels. Note that slab starter bars and shear keys or location dowels are not shown for clarity. The vertical joints do not need any formwork either (Fig. 3.10b). Overlapping hair pins provide the continuity of the horizontal reinforcement. The gap is filled with insitu concrete.

Provided that there is sufficient crane capacity, service cores can be constructed of precast box segments connected in the same way as shown for wall panels in Fig. 3.10. In this way it is possible to get the service core "out of the ground" in a rather short period of time, which is an important consideration with respect to the start time for floor construction. Since the box segments are stable under their self weight they do not need temporary bracing. For the vertical tendons to act as chord reinforcement they are best located near the corners of the box cells. Fig. 3.11 shows a service core constructed of precast segments.

Another type of precast wall panels is shown in Fig. 3.12: Cruciform "Swiss Cross" panels can be used to build perforated concrete walls enclosing high-rise buildings of the "tube" type (Fig. 3.13).
Here too, only simple mortar joints are required if the panels are connected by post-tensioning. The horizontal strand tendons are continuous from end to end of the wall and are pushed or pulled into cast-in corrugated ducts. The vertical prestress is provided by stress bars coupled at mid-height of each floor. The panels must be temporarily braced for stabilization until all the tendons and bars have been stressed. The panels themselves contain only nominal shrinkage reinforcement. No reinforcing bars cross the joints. The straight prestressing tendons in both faces of the ‘beams’ and “columns” provide the required capacity to resist negative and positive bending moments as well as shear forces resulting from lateral loading.

In summary, the advantages of post-tensioning structural walls and service cores are:

- reduction of reinforcement percentages, thus simpler details and consequently faster construction cycle
- vertical tendons provide continuous chord reinforcement, no potential weak sections at multiple reinforcing bar laps

In addition, there are the following advantages for walls and wall systems constructed of precast panels:

- connections possess high strength and largestiffness
- simple mortar joints, no reinforcing bar laps or couplers and hardly any insitu concrete requiring formwork
- no welded steel connectors with the corresponding high-level quality assurance procedures
- increase of stiffness and/or reduction of member sizes of perforated outside walls of high-rise buildings of the "tube" type, particularly when assembled from precast cruciform panels

but with the parabolic drape inverted: low points under columns and walls, high points in the spans. Fig. 3.15 clearly shows the inverted tendon profiles in a raft foundation under construction. In many cases the foundation can be designed to act as a stiff box (Fig. 3.16). The walls can be posttensioned to reduce the wall thickness and the reinforcement content; and to improve the cracking behaviour.

For foundations with draped tendons it may be necessary to stress the tendons in stages as the building rises since otherwise the deviation forces arising from the tendon curvature under the columns would push off the columns which initially only carry a small portion of the final design load. A more comprehensive summary of the aspects to be considered in the design of post-tensioned foundations is given in [7].

A special form of post-tensioned foundations are ground anchors and tension piles (Fig. 3.17), which play an important role in resisting large overturning moments due to wind or earthquake loads, or in providing sufficient safety against buoyancy uplift. Finally it is worth mentioning under-ground tension members that provide the horizontal tie at the base of arch or shell structures or inclined columns (Fig. 3.18).

In summary, the advantages of post-tensioning structural walls and service cores are:

- reduction of reinforcement percentages, thus simpler details and consequently faster construction cycle
- vertical tendons provide continuous chord reinforcement, no potential weak sections at multiple reinforcing bar laps

3.5 Post-Tensioned Foundations and Ground Anchors

The principle of a raft foundation is very similar to that of a floor slab turned upside-down. The distributed soil pressure acts at the bottom surface and is held in equilibrium by the downward-acting concentrated forces from columns and walls. Similarly, a strip foundation acts like a beam turned upside-down. Fig. 3.14 illustrates three different types of foundation mats that are the equivalents of a flat plate, a flat slab with drop panels and a one-way slab / band beam system. Posttensioning of foundation mats or beams offers similar advantages as for floor systems: primarily reduction of the thickness and reinforcement quantity and the corresponding reduction of the construction time, and improvement of the cracking and deflection behaviour, which in turn results in an increase of the stiffness. The reduced raft thickness means less excavation and smaller concrete volume. The smaller concrete volume, in turn, permits faster placing and is less critical in terms of the development of hydration heat. Reduced steel content means less material to be placed and handled, and simpler reinforcing details mean faster steel fixing. The tendons can be arranged similar as in the corresponding slab types.
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Fig. 3.15: A Post-Tensioned Raft Foundation under Construction

Fig. 3.17: Ground Anchors and Tension Piles

Fig. 3.16: Stiff Basement Box

Fig. 3.18: Under-Ground Tension Ties

(a) at base of shell structure
(b) at base of inclined columns

**3.6 Post-Tensioned Masonry Walls**

Masonry walls usually carry only small, if any, super-imposed gravity loads. Unless they are reinforced they therefore possess relatively small flexural strength both in-plane and out of plane. Unreinforced masonry walls have the additional disadvantage of failing in a brittle mode once the tensile capacity of the joints is exceeded. Vertical post-tensioning tendons placed in the cores significantly increase the strength and ductility of masonry walls. The VSL post-tensioning system for masonry uses unbonded greased and plastic-sheathed strands ('monostrands') that are inserted into steel pipes placed in sections while the blocks or bricks are laid (Fig. 3.19). This is a far less time consuming operation than placing bonded non-prestressed reinforcement. Self-activating anchorages are placed at the base of the wall which grip the strands when inserted. The tendons are stressed from the top of the wall. More detailed information on post-tensioned masonry is contained in [8].

**3.7 Other Applications**

In addition to floor systems, momentresisting frames, transfer beams and plates, shear walls and service cores, foundations, ground anchors and masonry walls there are some special applications. These include stay cables to support large roof structures, or used as back tie: for large cantilever supports of grand stands or hangar roofs. They also include cable roofs, tension ties at the base of inclined columns or arches, and tension columns suspending floors from a cap at the top of a high-rise building (“hanging structures”). These applications are not discussed further.

Fig. 3.19: Steel Ducts for Vertical Tendons Placed in Cores of Masonry
4. The VSL hardware for Use in Building Structures

4.1 The System

There are basically three VSL posttensioning systems that are used in building structures: The VSL “monostrand” system, i.e. unbonded greased and plasticsheathed single strands, the VSL bonded slab post-tensioning system with flat duct for up to 5 strands, and the bonded multistrand system. Each of these systems comprises the following components: The tendon, a passive “dead-end” anchorage, a stressing anchorage and a coupler. These components are illustrated for the three systems in Table 4.1. The stressing anchorages can also be used as fixed (“dead-end”) anchorages, which may be preferred in some situations for practical or economical reasons. The monostrand tendons are delivered to site as coiled pre-fabricated units, i.e. cut to length and with the dead-end anchorage already installed. The stressing anchorages are supplied separately and are fixed to the edge formwork. The tendons are then rolled out, fixed to the support chairs that are typically spaced at about 1 m centres, and coupled to the pre-placed stressing anchorages (or couplers at construction joints). Flat duct tendons can either be pre-fabricated, i.e. up to five strands cut to length and placed in the flat duct, the “onions” of the dead-end H-anchorage already formed, or the empty ducts are placed first. In that case the strand is delivered on a dispenser and pushed-in hydraulically and cut to length. The onions then have to be formed in place. In both cases the stressing anchorages are delivered separately. The strands of the flat duct system are stressed individually using a monostrand jack. After stressing, cement grout is injected into the ducts, providing bond to the concrete and corrosion protection of the strands and anchorages. This step is not required for the monostrand system since the strands are corrosion-protected ex-works by grease and a polyethylene sheath. Round duct multistrand tendons can either be prefabricated or the strands pushed into the empty ducts, as for flat duct tendons. The main difference, apart from the duct shape, is that all strands of a multistrand tendon are stressed simultaneously.

The monostrand system and the Flat duct bonded system are used mainly in slabs where, due to the small thickness an economical tendon eccentricity can only be achieved by the use of very small diameter tendon units. Where the tendon eccentricity is not so sensitive to the tendon diameter, e.g. in transfer beams and plates, foundations, frames, and in applications where the tendons are placed centrically, e.g. walls and service cores, it is possible to use the multistrand system, allowing the use of fewer but bigger tendon units.

In a slightly modified form the monostrand system is also used to post-tension masonry walls. The principal difference is the self-activating anchorage used as the dead-end anchorage. The wedges are held by a spring so that the strand can be pushed-in from above. The spring then pre-sets the wedges so that they grip properly as soon as the tendon is stressed. A reinforcing bar stud is provided to fix the anchorage in place when concreting the wall base strip.

Fig. 4.1 shows a VSL stress bar, a high tensile alloy bar with a coarse thread that allows anchoring the bar with end nuts. Thus there is no draw-in, which is a great advantage over strand tendons for very short tendon lengths (say less than 5 to 10 m). The bars are self-supporting, easy to handle and can be readily coupled which makes them ideally suited to connect precast elements, or in situations that require vertical elements such as columns or wall panels to be stressed at every floor level.

Other VSL systems available for special applications are VSL ground anchors, VSL stay cables and VSL external tendons. These are described in the brochure "PostTensioning Systems"[9].

4.2 To Grout or not to Grout?

A question frequently asked by designers is whether bonded or unbonded posttensioning should be specified. It is not possible to give a definite answer to this question. The fact that in some countries floors are post-tensioned almost exclusively by unbonded monosstrands (the U.S., Thailand, South Africa), while others only permit bonded post-tensioning (Australia) shows that opinions on this matter cover the entire spectrum from absolutely in favour to absolutely against one or the other. The reasons for this are related to local availability, design codes, availability of skilled labour, relative cost for manufacturing, handling and placing, relative cost of prestressing strand and reinforcing steel, the cost for grouting, etc. The best approach to decide what is better is to take a look at the specific advantages of bonded and unbonded post-tensioning, and then to judge which aspects are more important in each particular case, keeping in mind that the overall aim should be to achieve a short construction time without compromising the quality.

The unbonded monostrand system offers the following advantages:

- thin, light and flexible tendons that allow maximum tendon eccentricity in relatively thin members and are easy to handle and place
- small friction losses during stressing
- corrosion protection of prestressing steel ex-works
- no grouting necessary

On the other hand, the advantages of the bonded systems include:

- full exploitation of the yield strength of the prestressing steel
- improved cracking behaviour by activation of bond forces, therefore less additional non-prestressed steel required for crack control than with unbonded system
- for the flat duct system: thin tendons allowing maximum tendon eccentricity in relatively thin members
- for the multistrand system: ability to transfer large forces using large tendon units

The unbonded monostrand system offers the following advantages:

- thin, light and flexible tendons that allow maximum tendon eccentricity in relatively thin members and are easy to handle and place
- small friction losses during stressing
- corrosion protection of prestressing steel ex-works
- no grouting necessary

On the other hand, the advantages of the bonded systems include:

- full exploitation of the yield strength of the prestressing steel
- improved cracking behaviour by activation of bond forces, therefore less additional non-prestressed steel required for crack control than with unbonded system
- for the flat duct system: thin tendons allowing maximum tendon eccentricity in relatively thin members
- for the multistrand system: ability to transfer large forces using large tendon units
Table 4.1 The VSL hardware for use in building

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monostrand System</th>
<th>Flat Duct System</th>
<th>Multistrand System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tendon</td>
<td>Permanent corrosion preventing grease</td>
<td>Flat steel or plastic duct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic sheath</td>
<td>Cement grout</td>
<td>Bare strands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strand</td>
<td>Bare strands</td>
<td>Round steel or plastic duct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **S-6 anchorage**
2. **SO anchorage**
3. **EC anchorage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coupler (at construction joints)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SK-6 coupler</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dead-end anchorage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF-6 anchorage</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other decision criteria relate to the type of loading (small or large variable gravity loads) and whether or not the element is expected to develop plastic hinges during large intensity seismic response. Generally the bonded system is to be preferred when the variable gravity loads are high in relation to the permanent loads since only a small portion of the total load can be balanced by draped tendons. The amount of additional reinforcement required to resist the bending moments produced by full loading or pattern loading would be substantially greater when unbonded tendons were used. Bonded posttensioning is also to be preferred for beams or columns of seismic load resisting frames required to dissipate energy in plastic hinges.

In chapters 2 and 3.1 it was stated that post-tensioned floors can be thinner for a given loading and deflection limitation than reinforced concrete floors. This is primarily because of the load-balancing effect of the draped tendons, as illustrated in Fig. 5.1. In the span the deviation forces caused by the curved tendons act on the concrete to oppose gravity. Where the tendon curvature is inverted, i.e. over the grid lines between the columns, the deviation forces act downward, inserting concentrated loads on the "column strip" tendons, i.e. the tendons running along the grid lines. These concentrated forces are balanced by the upward acting deviation forces from the column strip tendons which in turn insert a downward acting force on the columns. When this net is stretched from all four edges it inserts the load-balancing forces on the concrete. The amount of prestressing steel can be determined by the condition that the draped tendons provide sufficient distributed deviation force to load-balance a certain percentage of the floor self weight. This percentage depends on the ratio of total load to permanent load and is typically between 70 and 130 %. For typical office or residential floors with live loads of 3 to 4 kN/m² and 1 kN/m² additional permanent load one would normally balance 70 to 90 % of the self weight while for floors with higher live loads more than 100 % of the self weight would be load-balanced. The other effect responsible for the improved deflection and cracking behaviour of post-tensioned floors is the in-plane compression stress field in the concrete stemming from the anchorages of the prestressing tendons. Provided that there are no significant restraints, these compression stresses neutralise a part of the flexural tensile stresses caused by the portion of the loading not balanced by deviation forces from the tendon drape. Typically the post-tensioning in floors provides an average in-plane compression stress of 1.0 to 2.5 N/mm².

Now let us look at typical span-to-depth ratios of post-tensioned floors. For light loading, say up to about 3.5 kN/m² and provided that punching shear is not critical, a post-tensioned flat plate can be designed with a thickness of about 1/40 of the larger span dimension (for interior panels), compared to about 1/30 for a flat plate in reinforced concrete. If drop panels are provided over the columns the span-depth ratio can be increased to about 45 and 35 for interior panels of post-tensioned and reinforced concrete slabs, respectively. For higher superimposed loading the span/depth ratio decreases, particularly if the super-imposed load is predominantly variable in place and time. Then the amount of posttensioning cannot simply be increased to load-balance the super-imposed load so that in order to meet the deflection limitations a greater floor thickness is required. This is illustrated in Figs. 5.2 and 5.3.
where the span/depth ratios of a number of post-tensioned flat plates and flat slabs with drop panels, respectively, built in various parts of the world over the last 10 years, are plotted against the total load normalized by the slab self weight. The data derive from internal VSL records and from [1], [10]. In spite of the evident large scatter both diagrams clearly show the trend that the span/depth ratio decreases markedly with increasing super-imposed load. The large scatter can be explained by four main factors: (1) there were certainly many different requirements for deflection and cracking, (2) there is always a trade-off between slab thickness and steel quantity, (3) punching shear can be treated by either increasing the slab thickness or by providing shear heads or shear reinforcement, and (4) the total load-to-self weight ratio depends on the span length which therefore also affects the span/depth ratio. The fact that general practice varies from country to country and even from engineer to engineer has also a lot to do with the wide range of span/depth ratios observed for any given load.

However, ignoring a few extreme cases in the graphs, it is evident that the data fall within a curved band with a width of about 10 to 15 times l/d. To use these graphs for a rough-order estimate of the slab thickness one has to first assume a reasonable thickness and calculate the total load-to-self weight ratio and then check whether the resulting l/d ratio falls within the band in the corresponding graph. For short spans (say 5 m) the l/d ratio may be closer to the upper edge of the band, while for very long spans (say 12 m) l/d ratios closer to the lower edge are more appropriate.

This reflects the dependence of the l/d ratio on the absolute span length. It should be remembered, however, that the graphs are only meant to give some guidance in selecting a first estimate of the slab thickness. Other steps in the design such as calculating the punching shear capacity or the expected deflection may dictate selecting a different thickness.

For total load-to-self weight ratios greater than about 2.5 and spans in excess of about 10 m, flat plates and flat slabs with drop panels will normally no longer be economical. Other floor systems with greater structural efficiency, that is stiffness and flexural strength for a given average weight per unit floor area, should then be considered. Band beams in one or both directions, ribbed slabs with band beams, waffle slabs or voided slabs are all lighter than an equivalent flat slab. For these systems it is much more difficult to give guides lines for the span/depth ratio to be assumed. This is because there are additional variables, for instance the spacing of band beams, the beam width, the slab thickness between the beams, etc. Therefore it will usually be necessary to study a number of variants before deciding on the dimensions. Experience has shown that band beam widths of 1/4 to 1/5 of the beam centre line spacing and beam depths of 2.0 to 2.5 times the slab thickness result in economical designs for floors with light to moderate loading. When deciding on beam width, spacing and thickness the sizes of readily available plywood sheets should be taken into consideration so as to minimize cutting time and waste of formwork material.

As a very crude guide line for posttensioned one-way slab and band beam systems designed to support superimposed loads of 3 to 4 kN/m², the slab thickness may be assumed to be 1/35 to 1/45 of the clear span between beams, unless governed by minimum thickness requirements. The span/depth ratio of the beams will most often be between 20 and 30. With 3 to 4 kN/m² floor loading a value of 28 is a reasonable estimate for 2.4 m wide post-tensioned band beams spaced at about 8.4 m [10].

When sizing post-tensioned floors, edge and corner panels should be distinguished from interior panels because of their different boundary conditions. About 20% more prestressing and reinforcing steel should be provided, compared to the quantities derived for interior panels. Where this is not practical the thickness should be increased, or the span length decreased by about 20 %. For simple spans a 20ù greater thickness should be selected anyway.

To estimate the total steel quantity in a floor it is useful to know that the combined weight of reinforcing steel and prestressing steel weighted by the yield strength ratio, i.e. "converted" to normal reinforcement, is typically between 80 and 130 kg/m³ of concrete. This is an average over the entire floor, including interior, edge and corner panels and taking into account edge reinforcement. While for bonded post-tensioning it may be assumed that the tendons will yield in the ultimate condition, unbonded tendons should be weighted only with the effective prestress divided by the yield stress of the reinforcement.
6. Details Improving the Constructability of Post-Tensioned Floors

One of the most effective ways to safeguard against construction delays and to avoid unacceptable crack widths is to specify appropriate connection details and prestressing arrangements. In this chapter a number of details are suggested for use in different situations.

Another way to reduce the restraint effects in cases where walls cannot be arranged as in Fig. 6.1 (a) is to temporarily or permanently separate the floor from the stiff support elements in such a way that relative horizontal movements in one or both directions between the floor and the walls can take place with little restraint while vertical support reactions are transferred. For permanent release joints proprietary connecting systems are available that are usually based on the principle of sliding dowels to transfer the vertical shear force from the slab to the supporting wall. The basic principle of a temporary release joint is shown in Fig. 6.2 (a).

Sliding material placed between the wall and the slab allows relatively low-friction movement as long as the corrugated ducts placed around the dowels cast into the wall are left open. Normally a significant part of the shrinkage shortening takes place over the first 2 to 6 months after casting. After that period of time cement grout is poured into the ducts so that after the grout has hardened shear forces are transferred between the floor and the walls.

Fig. 6.1: Influence of Wall Configuration on Slab Restraint

Fig. 6.2: Restraint-Free Slab-to-Wall Connections

One of the first decisions in the conceptual design of a building is whether and where expansion joints are to be provided in the floor system. If there is no significant restraint by stiff vertical members, very large post-tensioned floors can be constructed without any expansion joints since the compression stress compensates shrinkage and temperature induced tensile stresses to a large degree. Fig. 6.1 illustrates how the arrangement of supporting walls, stiff columns and service cores positively or negatively influences the design in this respect. Wherever possible, arrangements according to Fig. 6.1 (a) should be used. Expansion joints or other often costly measures to provide for good in-service behaviour of the floor are then avoided. In cases where for architectural reasons, walls and service cores have to be arranged as in Fig. 6.1 (b), prestressing does not guarantee a crack-free floor. This is because the prestressing force is partly or completely lost due to the shear and flexural stiffness of the walls. Any shortening due to shrinkage or cooling will then cause tensile stresses that are relieved as soon as a sufficient number of cracks have formed to provide compatibility between the floor and the flexing supports. To avoid the possibility that compatibility is achieved by the opening of a few very wide cracks, continuous crack distribution reinforcement must be provided.

When expansion joints are required the designer has two principal options: the stepped detail as shown in Fig. 6.3 (a) or the dowel connection shown in Fig. 6.3 (b). A variety of proprietary dowel systems are marketed, some of which can also be used for permanent release joints between supporting walls and the slab. The dowels allow relative movement in one or both horizontal directions while vertical shear force is transferred.

Fig. 6.3: Expansion Joint Details

The connection of post-tensioned floors to precast elements such as edge beams, or previously cast walls such as climb
formed service cores is a very common problem, particularly in high-rise building construction. Fig. 6.4 shows a selection of details both with dead-end and stressing anchorages. Details (a) to (d) do not require access from the opposite face of the wall or edge beam. Stressing anchorages arranged as shown in details (c) and (d) of Fig. 6.4 are also used in situations where there is no scaffolding along the floor perimeter so that stressing cannot be carried out from the edge of the slab. Detail (d) is commonly referred to as a “pour strip” and has the disadvantage that a strip of formwork and a row of props must be left in place until the slab has been completed. If the pour strip is left open for a few weeks this detail also serves as a temporary release joint. A disadvantage common to details (a), (c) and (d) is that a significant amount of reinforcement must be provided for the positive moments and to tie the slab to the supporting wall or beam. Starter bars are also required to provide a clamping force to transfer shear across the construction joint. It is to be noted that the H-anchorage shown in detail (a) works primarily as a bond anchorage and therefore the tendon force is only fully developed at a similar distance from the edge as for the anchorage details shown in Fig. 6.4 (c) and (d). There are other dead-end anchorages which develop the full tendon force closer to the edge. It should be noted that stressing anchorages used as dead-ends require access to place the wedges. The corresponding detail is therefore similar to Fig. 6.4 (c) but with the pocket closer to the wall since no clearance is required for the stressing jack. Shear friction reinforcement is still required in that case since the tendon does not cross the construction joint.

In contrast, details (b) and (e) require only a nominal amount of starter bars since the prestressing tendons cross the construction joint, providing most of the required shear friction clamping force and positive moment reinforcement. The self-activating dead-end anchorage for monostrand tendons is the same as shown in Chapter 4 for post-tensioned masonry. It can be cast into the wall or edge beam with a piece of duct. The tendon is then locked by the spring-loaded wedges when inserted into the anchorage.

Fig. 6.5 illustrates how stressing anchorages and dead-end anchorages can be arranged in an alternating pattern to avoid stressing from outside the floor area and yet maintain a sufficient amount of prestress close to the supports. The edge beam in Fig. 6.5 is assumed to be cast-in-place. If a precast edge beam were used, self-activating dead-end anchorages could be cast-in. This would also be an alternative for the fixed anchorage at the service core wall.

Fig. 6.6 shows a band beam arrangement which allows air conditioning ducts to run along the perimeter and around the central service core of a typical office floor. A thickened slab strip is provided along these edges in order to reduce the effect of the step in the beam soffit on the stiffness and strength of the floor. All the tendons are stressed from the faces of the step in the beam soffit so that stressing pockets or pour strips are avoided. Again, the dead-end anchorages could be replaced by self-activating anchorages cast into the core wall and spandrel beam, thus simplifying the reinforcement crossing the construction joints.
POST-TENSIONED IN BUILDINGS

Fig. 6.6: Notched Band Beam to Provide Space for A. C. Ducts

Fig. 6.7: Centre-Stressing Anchorages

Fig. 6.8: Precast Anchorage Blocks

If all tendons must be anchored in the supporting walls in spite of the lack of any access for stressing from outside, VSL can provide a centre-stressing anchorage. Fig. 6.7 shows a situation where basement walls are cast directly against an insulation layer fixed to a sheet pile wall. Here centre-stressing anchorages can be placed at alternating sides of the centre support, near the points of contraflexure, allowing the stressing of all tendons from within the floor area.

In Chapter 2 a few possible measures to minimise the waiting time between concreting and stressing of the post-tensioning tendons were mentioned. These measures include the use of high early strength concrete, the use of special (larger) anchor plates and the initial stressing to only 25 to 50% of the final prestressing force. For the monostrand system this implies stressing in two stages while with the flat duct system it is easier to fully stress 1 to 3 strands of each anchorage. This will not locally overstress the concrete behind the anchorage since in that case the anchorage is only partly loaded. For the monostrand system, on the other hand, fully stressing every second or fourth strand would locally over-stress the young concrete since every strand is individually anchored. An elegant way to enable full stressing at a very early stage is to integrate all stressing anchorages into precast concrete blocks set in the formwork. Fig. 6.8 shows an example. The precast blocks also contain starter bars and bursting reinforcement. The stress applied to the in-situ concrete during stressing is then much smaller than in the immediate vicinity of the anchorage so that the tendons can be fully stressed after a day or two. The stresses at the dead-end anchorage must be checked and, if found necessary, they too must be placed in precast blocks. This would be possible, for instance, using self-activating dead-end anchorages or normal (stressing) anchorages with access to place wedges.

The details shown here were selected to demonstrate that connections and separations, tendon layout and anchorage details should be carefully designed to provide for efficient construction and maximum possible flexibility of the mechanical services layout. Details solely derived from structural considerations do not necessarily comply with this important requirement. Where-ever possible, the floor system and its details should therefore be selected in cooperation with the contractor and the mechanical services engineer.
7. Examples

Two hypothetical examples are used to re-iterate the contents of Chapters 3, 5 and 6. First, a typical floor of a high-rise office building is discussed. The second example is a three storey commercial building. In each example the floor framing system is first selected from four different options. The selected floor system is then further discussed, in particular the layout of the prestressing tendons and some connection details, and the degree of load-balancing, the average compression stress, and the estimated prestressing and reinforcing steel quantities are given.

7.1 High-Rise Office Building

Fig. 7.1 shows a part elevation of a high rise office building. The super-imposed floor loading is 4 kN/m². The typical floor plan is illustrated in Fig. 7.2. The floor plan is divided into four quadrants which have been pulled apart for clarity. Each quadrant shows a different floor framing system. The main elements of the structure are the central service core which could be constructed by climbing formwork, peripheral frames constructed of precast columns and precast shell beams with insitu joints, and the floor framing system.

All four options shown in Fig. 7.2 involve comparatively complex formwork, which is economically viable for high-rise buildings with many re-uses of the forms. The bottom left hand quadrant shows an arrangement of 500 mm deep band beams running in one direction with a 270 mm voided one-way slab spanning in the other direction. The beams could be composed of precast shells and the slab could be cast on precast soffits, so that only a small amount of conventional formwork would be required. Mechanical services can run along the building perimeter where the beam depth steps back to 350 mm. A variation of this system is shown in the top right hand quadrant, where the voided slab has been replaced by a 120 mm slab with 350 mm ribs. The solution shown in the bottom right hand quadrant has band beams running in both directions, with one-way ribbed slabs and 350 mm waffle slabs as corner panels. The formwork is more complex than for the other two options but the maximum depth is reduced by 50 mm.

Finally, the top left hand quadrant illustrates a solution with band beams running in both directions, spaced at 5.4 m, and 120 mm slab panels. The major advantage of this solution is that mechanical services can also run along the service core walls since the beam soffits step back at both ends. This is the reason why this system was selected as the preferred solution.

Fig. 7.3 shows the adopted floor system, including the layout of the prestressing tendons. Each line represents a bonded tendon with 4 strands 0.6” in a flat duct. It is to be noted that the unbonded monostrand system could have been selected as well but this would have resulted in a greater amount of additional non-prestressed steel. All stressing can be done from within the floor area, either from stressing pockets or from underneath the beams. Access from outside is not required. Where a fixed anchorage would fall within a column, an SO anchorage (see Table 4.1) is placed in a small access pocket (necessary to place the wedges). This was preferred since an H anchorage placed within the beamcolumn joint was considered undesirable because of the reinforcement congestion there. The band beams are constructed of precast shell beams with stressing anchorages already cast in. The slab is cast on steel trough decking spanning between the shell beams while the 1.5 m wide thickened slab strips along the perimeter and the service core walls are formed conventionally.

Fig. 7.4 shows schematic details of the band beam section and some of the connections. The fixed anchorages cast into the climb-formed walls require access from inside the core so that the wedges can be placed. If the monostrand system were used this detail could be simplified by providing self-activating anchorages here which do not require an access pocket.

90% of the self weight is load-balanced by draped prestressing tendons. The slab tendons produce an average inplane compression stress of approximately 1.5 MPa while the compression in the other direction amounts to about 2.0 MPa. The prestressing steel quantity is 4600 kg, or 23 kg/m³ of concrete. The average floor thickness is about 210 mm. To estimate the reinforcing steel quantity, it is assumed that the equivalent non-prestressed total would be 120 kg/m³, i.e. at the upper end of the range given in Chapter 5. This is because the band beams are simply supported and therefore require more reinforcement. Taking the equivalent reinforcing steel quantity as 1590 MPa / 500 MPa x 23 kg/m³ = 73 kg/m³, 37 kg/m³ remain to be provided as additional reinforcement. Had the unbonded system been chosen this quantity would be greater.
Fig. 7.2: Floor Plan Divided into Four Quadrants each Illustrating a Different Floor Framing System, and Corresponding Sections
Fig. 7.3: Floor Plan of Selected Floor Framing System Showing Arrangement of Prestressing Tendons, and Typical Sections
7.2 Three Storey Commercial Building

Fig. 7.5 shows two elevations of the building. The peripheral walls and frames are constructed of precast wall panels connected by vertical post-tensioning tendons, full-height precast columns and precast beams. The beams are connected to the columns by post-tensioning tendons. The interior columns are precast too, but only to the slab soffit.

Fig. 7.6 illustrates four different floor framing options in four quadrants of the floor plan. The floor loading is 5 kN/m² live load + 2 kN/m² for non-structural finishing concrete. The underlying soil is marginal for a spread footing foundation so that in order to keep the cost for foundations within reason the floor weight is to be optimised. This objective almost rules out the flat plate which would have a thickness of about 260 mm (i.e. 1/35 for a load ratio of 2.0, which falls well within the band in Fig. 5.2). The flat slab with drop panels would be a little lighter but still about 230 mm thick with 2.8 x 2.8 m x 400 mm drop panels (i.e. span/depth ratio of 40 for a load ratio of 2.22 which falls well within

the band in Fig. 5.3). The band beam solution would be considerably lighter. The beams could be 9.0 / 4 = 2.25, say 2.4 m wide and about 8.0 / 26 = 0.31 m deep with a 160mm slab (= one 40-th of the clear span). However, a flat soffit was preferred for architectural reasons.

Therefore, the voided slab solution with solid bands in one direction was selected. It could also be 260 mm thick although the total load-to-self weight ratio is 2.5 which brings the selected span/depth ratio of 35 very close to the upper edge of the band in Fig. 5.2. This is not
considered to be a problem since the stiffness is only reduced insignificantly by introducing voids. The slab is constructed on precast soffits with polystyrene block attached.

Fig. 7.7 shows the scheme. Unbonded monostrands (bundles of 4) are concentrated in the solid bands which act as beam bands, while the voided slab acts as a one-way slab. Uniformly distributed tendons (bundles of 2 strands) are placed in the slab direction, running in the bands between voids. In view of the relatively high permanent super-imposed load of 2 kN/m², 120% of the self weight are load-balanced by the draped tendons so that the deflections are kept low. The selected amount of prestress results in 1.5 MPa average compression stress in the slab direction and 2.0 MPa in the "band beam" direction.

The prestressing quantity is 5040 kg, or 23 kg/m³ of concrete. The average floor weight is 4.65 kN/m². Since unbonded tendons do not normally develop the full yield strength, the prestressing steel will be taken as the equivalent of 1200 MPa / 500 MPa x 23 kg/m³ = 55 kg/m³ reinforcing steel. Since the edge and corner panels are all about 20% shorter than the interior panels the total reinforcement content is similar to that of interior panels and therefore an average of the range given in Chapter 5 may be assumed, i.e. approximately 100 kg/m³. This leaves an additional quantity of reinforcing steel of 45 kg/m³ to be placed.

Fig. 7.8 shows schematically some of the details at the connections between the slab and the supporting columns, walls and edge beams. Fig. 7.8 (a) illustrates how the precast soffit panels are arranged: The 1.8 m wide panels of the solid "band beam" strips run in N-S direction with the "slab" panels running at right angles and butting up to the "beam" panels. The "slab" soffit panels could be delivered to site with the polystyrene void formers already attached. Only a small patch of formwork is required at the interior columns. All "beam" tendons are stressed from the outside edge (Fig. 7.8 b). This detail also shows that no starter bars or welded steel connectors are provided at the horizontal joints between the wall panels. The vertical bonded tendons provide the required shear and flexural capacity. Fig. 7.8 (c) and (d) show that all stressing of the distributed tendons is done from stressing pockets, i.e. from within the floor. Finally, Fig. 7.8 (e) and (f) demonstrate schematically how the precast beams are connected to the full height precast columns by bundles of unbonded monostrands. It is to be noted that the number of strands shown is only indicative. The top beam bars are continuous through the columns and are placed in situ, thus providing bonded reinforcement across the joints. A block-out in the column allows the placing of these bars and provides a seat for the precast slab soffit panels.
Fig. 7.3: Floor Plan of Selected Floor Framing System Showing Arrangement of Prestressing Tendons, and Typical Sections
Fig. 7.8 Details
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<td>Tel 30 - 1 - 363 84 53 Fax 30 - 1 - 360 95 43</td>
<td>Tel 39-9-98 14 12</td>
<td>Tel 81 - 33 - 346 89 13 Fax 81 - 33 - 345 91 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MALAYSIA</th>
<th>NETHERLANDS</th>
<th>NEW ZEALAND</th>
<th>SOUTH AFRICA</th>
<th>SPAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSL Engineers (M) Sdn. Bhd., KUALA LUMPUR</td>
<td>VSL Nederland B.V., AT LEIDEN, Tel 31 - 71 -7698900 Fax 31 - 71 - 72 08 86</td>
<td>Precision Precasting (Wtg.), Ltd., OTAKI</td>
<td>Steeleedales Systems (Pty.) Ltd., JOHANNESBURG</td>
<td>VSL Corporation DALLAS, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel 60 - 3 - 242 47 11 Fax 60 - 3 - 242 93 97</td>
<td>Tel 60 - 3 - 242 47 11 Fax 60 - 3 - 242 93 97</td>
<td>Tel 1 - 34 - 346 19 13</td>
<td>Tel 27-11-6137741/9 Fax 27 - 11 - 613 74 04</td>
<td>Tel 1 - 703 - 451 - 0862 Fax 1 - 703 - 451 - 9281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWEDEN</th>
<th>SWITZERLAND</th>
<th>VIETNAM</th>
<th>VSL COMPANIES AND LICENSEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internordisk Spannarmering AB, DANDERYD</td>
<td>VSL (Switzerland) Ltd., LYSSACH</td>
<td>VSL North East Asia HONG KONG</td>
<td>BALVAC WHITLEY MORAN LTD., 9 PIONEER AVENUE, PEARL FISHERS, HONG KONG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel 46-8-7530250 Fax 46 - 8 - 753 49 73</td>
<td>Tel 41 - 34 - 47 99 11 Fax 41 - 34 - 45 43 22</td>
<td>Tel 56 - 2 - 233 10 57 Fax 56 - 2 - 231 12 05</td>
<td>Tel 61 - 2 - 484 59 44 Fax 61 - 2 - 875 38 94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VSL COMPANIES AND LICENSEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUSTRALIA</th>
<th>CZECH REPUBLIC</th>
<th>FRANCE</th>
<th>INDIA</th>
<th>PERU</th>
<th>USA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSL Prestressing (Aust.) Pty. Ltd.</td>
<td>VSL Systemy (CZ) s. r. o., PRAHA</td>
<td>VSL France S.A., EGLY</td>
<td>Killick Prestressing Ltd., BOMBAY</td>
<td>Pretensado VSL del Peru SA, LIMA</td>
<td>VSL Corporation ATLANTA, GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel 61 - 2 - 484-5944 Fax 61 - 2 - 875 38 94</td>
<td>Tel 42 - 2 - 242 252 96 Fax 42 - 2 - 242 254 31</td>
<td>Tel 33-1-69261400 Fax 33 - 1 - 60 83 89 95</td>
<td>Tel 91 -22-57844841 Fax 91 - 22 - 578 47 19</td>
<td>Tel 51 -14-760423, - 76 04 26 Fax 51 - 14 - 76 04 77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GREAT BRITAIN</th>
<th>GREECE</th>
<th>GERMANY</th>
<th>ITALY</th>
<th>JAPAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balvac Whitley Moran Ltd., DERBYSHIRE DE55 4PY</td>
<td>VSL Systems (G) Ltd., ATHENS</td>
<td>VSL Vorspanntechnik (D) GmbH, ELSTAL</td>
<td>VSL Italia S. r. l., MONTESE</td>
<td>VSL Japan Corporation, TOKYO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel 44 - 773 54 16 00 Fax 44 - 773 54 17 00</td>
<td>Tel 30 - 1 - 363 84 53 Fax 30 - 1 - 360 95 43</td>
<td>Tel 39-9-98 14 12</td>
<td>Tel 81 - 33 - 346 89 13 Fax 81 - 33 - 345 91 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MALAYSIA</th>
<th>NETHERLANDS</th>
<th>NEW ZEALAND</th>
<th>SOUTH AFRICA</th>
<th>SPAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSL Engineers (M) Sdn. Bhd., KUALA LUMPUR</td>
<td>VSL Nederland B.V., AT LEIDEN, Tel 31 - 71 -7698900 Fax 31 - 71 - 72 08 86</td>
<td>Precision Precasting (Wtg.), Ltd., OTAKI</td>
<td>Steeleedales Systems (Pty.) Ltd., JOHANNESBURG</td>
<td>VSL Corporation DALLAS, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel 60 - 3 - 242 47 11 Fax 60 - 3 - 242 93 97</td>
<td>Tel 60 - 3 - 242 47 11 Fax 60 - 3 - 242 93 97</td>
<td>Tel 1 - 34 - 346 19 13</td>
<td>Tel 27-11-6137741/9 Fax 27 - 11 - 613 74 04</td>
<td>Tel 1 - 703 - 451 - 0862 Fax 1 - 703 - 451 - 9281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWEDEN</th>
<th>SWITZERLAND</th>
<th>VIETNAM</th>
<th>VSL COMPANIES AND LICENSEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internordisk Spannarmering AB, DANDERYD</td>
<td>VSL (Switzerland) Ltd., LYSSACH</td>
<td>VSL North East Asia HONG KONG</td>
<td>BALVAC WHITLEY MORAN LTD., 9 PIONEER AVENUE, PEARL FISHERS, HONG KONG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel 46-8-7530250 Fax 46 - 8 - 753 49 73</td>
<td>Tel 41 - 34 - 47 99 11 Fax 41 - 34 - 45 43 22</td>
<td>Tel 56 - 2 - 233 10 57 Fax 56 - 2 - 231 12 05</td>
<td>Tel 61 - 2 - 484-5944 Fax 61 - 2 - 875 38 94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>